

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,746	04/17/2001	Andrew Patron	41305-253159	5937
75	90 07/02/2003			
Cynthia B. Rothschild Kilpatrick Stockton LLP			EXAMINER	
1001 West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, NC 27101			WESSENDORF, TERESA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	Ĺ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	·	09/836,746	PATRON ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		T. D. Wessendorf	1639
Period fo		nication appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum si te to reply within the set or extended period for reply	s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <i>03 January 2003</i> .	
2a)□		2b) This action is non-final.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pracon of Claims	n for allowance except for formal ma tice under <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the	application.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction Papers	on and/or election requirement.	
9)□ ٦	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10) <u> </u>	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obj	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[T	he proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ c	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re-	quired in reply to this Office action.	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	application No
	application from the Intern	of the priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not	_
		•	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
		nguage provisional application has be	
		for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	
ttachment		• •	
) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P.	PTO-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
, <u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/836,746 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-30, drawn to an array.
- II. Claim 31, drawn to a micromachined device.
- III. Claim 32, drawn to a biosensor.
- IV. Claims 33-46, drawn to a method of screening a plurality of proteins.
- V. Claim 47-50, drawn to a method of detecting a chemical or biological components immobilized on a solid phase.
- VI. Claim 51, drawn to a computer readable media.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship.

Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as either a device or biosensor as recited and the inventions are deemed

Application/Control Number: 09/836,746 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different device or machine each comprising different component parts.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods having different steps and requires different components.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,746 Page 4

Art Unit: 1639

Inventions (I-III and VI) and (IV and V) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different statutory subject matters of products as array and different methods.

Inventions V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can be done manually i.e., without the aid of computer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-VI, specifically with respect to literature searches which are not co-extensive with Patent searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,746 Page 5 Art Unit: 1639 This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A. Express proteins with or without a tag. If with a tag is elected, elect the tag as recited in claim 6 or claim 7 i.e., a specific affinity tag. (Note that affinity tag is a genus, not a species). B). Express peptides or protein fragments or related proteins (claim 16). A species of either peptide or protein fragment or a family of the protein i.e., not a subgenus as recited in claim 16. Rather, a single species of e.g., growth factors or a peptide or protein fragments. C). Binding surface (claim 22-30) i.e., a single species of e.g., antibody or a metal film as recited in claim 30. If Group IV is elected: applicants are required to additionally elect from the following species: A. Detection means as recited in claim 36 or 37 or 38. B. Test compound as recited in claim 39 or 40 or 41 i.e., as specific test compound e.g., a peptide species. [Note that claim 46 is a duplicate of claim 44]. If Group V is elected; applicants are to elect species as follows: A. Chemical or biological component.

Art Unit: 1639

recited in claim 48.

B. Solid phase or an array of immobilized components as

Each of the species in each of the subgroups differs in structure, mode of actions and/or functions. A prior art reference anticipating one species in a subgroup would not render obvious the other species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 31, 32, 33, 47 and 51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

Page 7

Art Unit: 1639

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Cynthia Rothschild on 6/25/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must Application/Control Number: 09/836,746

Page 8

Art Unit: 1639

be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7924 for regular communications and (703) 308-7924 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639

tdw June 30, 2003